**ELECTRONIC** 



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

05/29/2007

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 8132 09/965,610 09/26/2001 Adam S. Cantor 56032US022 32692 05/29/2007 7590 **EXAMINER** 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 GHALI, ISIS A D ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615 NOTIFICATION DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/965,610	CANTOR ET AL.	CANTOR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Isis A. Ghali	1615			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the stath this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affi Appeal (with appeal fee) in c 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	davit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date		to the control of the
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whith have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of ed statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but print (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider</li> </ol>		•
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	for any only by an atomically an	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	ponding number of finally reju	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		"
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	ee attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s).	-	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  wi how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		i be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of tr		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/	SB/08) Paper No(s)	
13. Other:		, 0
	IOIC OHALL	aioshel.
	ISIS GHALI	Isis A Ghali
PHIN	IARY EXAMINER	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicants' argument, it is argued that a conclusion of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) does not require absolute predictability, only a reasonable expectation of success; and references are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art. rather than by their specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). The disclosed examples and preferred embodiment do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). It is noted that WO '229 suggested fentanyl to be delivered with the disclosed transdermal device, and this renders delivering fentanyl in the transdermal device disclosed by WO '229 obvious under U.S.C. 103 (a). Additionally US '849 not only disclosed fentanyl in transdermal composition comprising acrylate copolymer, but US '849 claimed fentanyl, and moreover equalized fentanyl with nicotine. Therefore, fentanyl is one of the preferred drugs to be delivered by the transdermal device disclosed by US '849. WO '229 teaches the amount of any drug used in the disclosed transdermal system is from 0.01-30%, and the reference did not specify such amount to specific drugs, therefore, it reads on fentanyl. The amount fentanyl is clearly a result effective parameter that a person of ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan of ordinary skill to determine the optimal amount of fentanyl in order to best achieve the desired results. Applicant argues that it was shown just this in the art submitted with previous responses that at the time the invention was made it was unexpected that a composition such as the one claimed could comprise fentanyl in a range as high as from about 8 to about 30%, wherein the composition is also free of undissolved fentanyl. In response to this argument, the art submitted by applicant did not show fentanyl in the adhesive copolymer as instantly claimed...

dioghal.

ISIS GHALL PRIMARS (SE